

Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION				
Requestor's Name and Address:	MFDR Tracking #: M4-07-3044-01			
HARRIS METHODIST HEB				
3255 W PIONEER PKWY ARLINGTON TX 76013				
AREINGTON TA 70013				
Respondent Name and Box #:				
AMERICAN HOME ASSURANCE CO.				
Rep Box # 19				

PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

Requestor's Position Summary: "Medicare would have allowed the provider \$806.24 for APC# 0686, allowing this at 140% as fair and reasonable would be \$1124.54. Also for APC# 0686 for the second procedure at the correct amount at 140% would allow \$526.27. Leaving a balance still owed of \$568.81. Please review this admit at 140% over the Medicare allowable."

Principal Documentation:

- 1. DWC 60 Package
- 2. Total Amount Sought \$568.81
- 3. Hospital Bill
- 4. EOBs
- 5. Medical Records

PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

Respondent's Position Summary: "It is the Respondents position that the Requestor was paid more than a fair and reasonable amount as determined in accordance with the criteria for payment under the **ACT**. Specifically, the amount paid by the Respondent was more than that which would be allowed under Medicare. Respondent has paid Requestor \$1118.00 which is the same amount that a full service hospital would be paid for its facility charges associated with a spinal surgery and a one-day inpatient hospitalization."

Principal Documentation:

1. Response Package

PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Denial Code(s)	Disputed Service	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
3/11/2006	16, 18, W10	Outpatient Surgery	\$568.81	\$0.00
Total /Due:				\$0.00

PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION

Texas Labor Code §413.011(a-d), titled *Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines*, and Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, titled *Use of the Fee Guidelines*, effective May 16, 2002 set out the reimbursement guidelines.

- 1. For the services involved in this dispute, the respondent reduced or denied payment with reason codes:
 - "16 Clm/Srvc lacks info which is needed for adjudication. We are in receipt of your bill for services. Payment or denial cannot be determined without medical reports.
 - 18 Duplicate claim/service. Duplicate charges. Reimbursement was previously made for services rendered to this injured worker on this date of service.

- W10 No maximum allowable defined by fee guideline. Reimbursement was made based on insurance carrier fair and reasonable reimbursement methodology. Reduced to fair and reasonable."
- 2. The Respondent denied reimbursement based upon duplicate claim/service. The disputed service was a duplicate bill submitted for reconsideration of payment. The Respondent did not provide information/documentation of duplicate payments. Therefore, this payment denial reason has not been supported.
- 3. This dispute relates to outpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 TexReg 4047, which requires that "reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, §413.011"...
- 4. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
- 5. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(C), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282; and applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003 requires that the request shall include "a table listing the specific disputed health care and charges in the form, format and manner prescribed by the commission". This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on January 3, 2007. Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has indicated that the amount billed for the services in dispute is the total for all services charged on the hospital bill; however the documentation does not support that all of the services in dispute were rendered on the date of service listed on the requestor's *Table of Disputed Services*. The requestor listed the disputed date of service as 3/11/06 on the *Table*; the total charges on the bill were for date of service 3/11/06 and 3/12/06. Therefore, the requestor has failed to complete the required sections of the request in the form, format, and manner prescribed by the Division sufficient to meet the requirements of 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(C).
- 6. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282; and applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003 requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including "a statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include: (i) a description of the healthcare for which payment is in dispute, (ii) the requestor's reasoning for why the disputed fees should be paid or refunded, (iii) how the Texas Labor Code and commission [now the Division] rules, and fee guidelines, impact the disputed fee issues, and (iv) how the submitted documentation supports the requestor position for each disputed fee issue. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor did not discuss or explain how the Texas Labor Code and Division rules impact the disputed fee issues, or how the submitted documentation supports the requestor's position for each disputed fee issue. The Division concludes that requestor has not provided documentation sufficient to meet the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C).
- 7. Division Rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement in accordance with §133.1 of this title (relating to Definitions) and §134.1 of this title (relating to Use of the Fee Guidelines)". The requestor asserts in the position statement that "Medicare would have allowed the provider \$806.24 for APC# 0686, allowing this at 140% as fair and reasonable would be \$1124.54. Also for APC # 0686 for the second procedure at the correct amount at 140% would allow \$526.27. Leaving a balance still owed of \$568.81. Please review this admit at 140% over the Medicare allowable..." Review of the documentation finds that the requestor did not discuss or explain how it determined that 140% of the Medicare rate would yield a fair and reasonable reimbursement. Nor did the requestor submit evidence to support the proposed methodology. Nor has the requestor discussed how the proposed methodology would be consistent with the criteria of Labor Code §413.011 or 28 TAC §134.1. Additionally, the requestor did not provide documentation, such as Medicare fee schedules, redacted EOBs, payment policy manual excerpts, or other evidence, to support the Medicare payment calculation. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not discussed, demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. The request for additional reimbursement is not supported.
- 8. The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(e)(2)(C), §133.307(g)(3)(C) and §133.307(g)(3)(D). The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to meet its burden of proof to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REF	ERENCES			
Texas Labor Code § 413.011(a-d), § 413.031 and § 413 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.1, §133.307, §134. Texas Government Code, Chapter 2001, Subchapter G				
PART VII: DIVISION DECISION AND/OR ORDER				
Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties Division has determined that the Requestor is not entitle				
DECISION:				
		11/20/09		
Authorized Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date		
PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN AP	PEAL			
Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to req the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 20 (twenty) Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insura Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Res in Division Rule 148.3(c).	days of your receipt of this decision. A request for lance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 1	nearing should be sent to: 7787, Austin, Texas, 78744.		
Under Texas Labor Code Section 413.0311, your appear Chapter 142 Rules if the total amount sought does not ex- conducted by the State Office of Administrative Hearing	xceed \$2,000. If the total amount sought exceeds \$2,			
Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.				